Automated and Configurable **Programming Project Checking with Chasten**

Daniel Bekele, Jaclyn Pham, and Gregory M. Kapfhammer

May 15, 2025

PyCon Education Summit 2025

What Problem Are We Solving?

- Students may struggle to write **efficient**, **readable code**
- Manual project review is **time-consuming** and **error-prone**
- Many courses face these challenges:
 - Data structures
 - Algorithm analysis
 - Software engineering
- Existing tools focus on style, not semantic structure
- Regex is **brittle**, and AST tools are **hard to prototype**

Project Goal: Chasten enables **scalable** and **structure-aware** feedback that effectively supports both instructors and students

Avoid Time Complexity of $O(n^2)$



- **Goal**: Automatically scan the source code that students submit to confirm that there are no inefficient looping constructs
- **A Challenge**: Linters like Ruff and Pylint don't have rules to detect nested control structures that either are or are not acceptable
- **Build**: An extensible tool allowing instructors to scan for arbitrary code patterns without detailed AST knowledge

Chasten to the Rescue!

- Dises XPath to search Python's AST
- 🔂 Rules written in simple YAML
- 🗄 Structure-first, not just style
- 🖯 Outputs to JSON, CSV, or SQLite

Result: Instructors define checks once and use Chasten to easily apply them at scale across all student submissions

```
1 - name: "nested-loops"
```

```
2 code: "PERF001"
```

- 3 pattern: "//For[descendant::For]"
- 4 description: "Detects doubly nested for-loops (e.g., O(n²))"

Let's Run Chasten!

Install the Tool

<pre>1 pipx install chasten</pre>	<pre># Install Chasten in venv</pre>
2 pipx list	<pre># Confirm installation</pre>
3 chastenhelp	<pre># View available commands</pre>

Run Chasten

1 chasten analyze time-complexity-lab \ 2 --config chasten-configuration \ 3 --search-path time-complexity-lab \ 4 --save-directory time-complexity-results \ 5 --save

- Save results to a JSON file and produce console output
- Configure the **return code** for different **detection goals**

Results from Running Chasten

Nested Loop Analysis

Check ID	Check Name	File	Matches
PERF001	nested-loops	analyze.py	1
PERF001	nested-loops	display.py	7
PERF001	nested-loops	main.py	0

- \equiv Check ID \rightarrow A unique short rule code (e.g., PERF001)
- □ Check Name → The rule name that matched (e.g., nested-loops)
- **The Python file that the tool scanned (e.g., analyze.py) The Python file that the tool scanned (e.g., analyze.py)**

 \square Matches \rightarrow Number of times the pattern was detected in that file (e.g., 1 match)

Limitations and Future Directions

- Limitations of the Chasten Tool
 - ① Doesn't handle style, formatting, or type inference
 - Not optimized for fast use in continuous integration
 - Pattern matches through use of XPath on Python's AST
- Empirical Study of Chasten
 - Frequency of false positives or false negatives?
 - How do students respond to the tool's feedback?
 - Differences in scores with varied feedback types?

Key Takeaways

- *I* Write declarative rules for AST-based code checks
- 🖾 Focus on bespoke code structure patterns in Python
- Solution Automated grading aligned with learning outcomes
- Le Generate data-rich insights into student code patterns
 - Try out Chasten and contribute to its development!
 - GitHub: https://github.com/AstuteSource/chasten
 - PyPI: https://pypi.org/project/chasten/